

1) Suppose 20 items were tested for 75 hours. Suppose that the failure times given below follow an exponential ( $\lambda$ ) distribution.

$r = \#$  uncensored

17, 25, 27, 30, 50, 66,  
75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+,  
75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+, 75+

a) Find  $\hat{\lambda}$ . 
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^*} = \frac{r}{\sum y_i^*} = \frac{6}{17+25+27+30+50+66+14(75)}$$

$$= \frac{6}{1265} = \boxed{0.004743}$$

b) Find a 95% CI for  $\lambda$ . 
$$\hat{\lambda} \pm 1.96 \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\sqrt{r}} = 0.004743 \pm \frac{1.96(0.004743)}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$= 0.004743 \pm 0.003795 =$$

$$\boxed{(0.0009478, 0.008538)}$$

2) Does the cox.zph function output below suggest that the proportional hazards assumption is reasonable?

```
z<-coxph(Surv(edrel,rel)~histol+instit+age+stage,data=nwtco)
```

```
cox.zph(z)
```

	rho	chisq	p	$\leftarrow$ pvalue
histol	-0.0742	2.94	8.66e-02	
instit	-0.0456	1.06	3.02e-01	
age	0.2082	29.66	5.16e-08	
stage	-0.1489	11.83	5.84e-04	
GLOBAL	NA	53.85	5.66e-11	$< .05$

so  $\boxed{\text{no}}$

$p\text{-val} > \delta = 0.05 \Rightarrow$  PH assumption is reasonable  
 $p\text{-val} < \delta = 0.05 \Rightarrow$   $\boxed{\text{PH assumption is unreasonable}}$

Full Model: Loglik(model) = -31.3 Loglik(intercept only) = -39.3  
 Chisq = 15.82 on 5 degrees of freedom, p = 0.0074

Reduced Model:	Value	Std. Error	z	p
Intercept	7.2972	1.5493	4.710	0.000
treat	0.4341	0.4633	0.937	0.3490
size	-0.0370	0.0174	-2.126	0.0335
index	-0.2692	0.1162	-2.317	0.0205
Log(scale)	-0.9901	0.3489	-2.837	0.00455

Loglik(model) = -31.4 Loglik(intercept only) = -39.3  
 Chisq = 15.64 on 3 degrees of freedom, p = 0.0013

3) Prostate cancer data is from Collett (2003, p. 10). The full model has 5 predictors, *treat* (placebo or DES), *size* of tumor, Gleason *index* for tumor, *age* and *serum*. Output is from a Weibull regression.

→ a) For the reduced model, test whether  $\beta = 0$ .  $H_0: \beta = 0$   $H_A: \beta \neq 0$

use output

$$\chi^2(OIF) = 15.64 \quad \approx \quad [-2(-39.3)] - [-2(-31.4)] = 78.6 - 62.8 = 15.8$$

$$pval = 0.0013 \quad = P(\chi^2_3 > 15.64) = 0.0013$$

$\frac{df}{3} \quad \frac{0.005}{12.84} \quad \frac{0.001}{16.27}$

$0.001 < pval < 0.005$

reject  $H_0$  there is a WPH survival relationship between  $Y$  and the predictors *treat*, *size* and *index*

b) Test whether  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$z_{01} = 0.937$$

$$pval = 0.349$$

fail to reject  $H_0$ , *treat* is not needed in the WPH survival model given *size* and *index* are in the model

c) Test whether  $\beta_2 = 0$ .

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0 \quad H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0$$

$$z_{02} = -2.126$$

$$pval = 0.0335$$

reject  $H_0$  *size* is needed in the WPH survival model given *treat* and *index* are in the model