

1) Suppose that the regression model is $Y_i = 10 + 2X_{1i} + \beta X_{2i} + e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where the e_i are iid random variables. The least squares criterion is $Q(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - 10 - 2X_{1i} - \eta X_{2i})^2$.

a) What is $E(Y_i)$?

$$10 + 2X_{1i} + \beta X_{2i}$$

b) Find the least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of β by setting the first derivative $\frac{d}{d\eta} Q(\eta)$ equal to zero.

$$\frac{dQ}{d\eta} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - 10 - 2X_{1i} - \eta X_{2i}) (-X_{2i}) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \quad \text{or}$$

$$2\eta \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i} (Y_i - 10 - 2X_{1i})$$

$$\text{or } \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i} (Y_i - 10 - 2X_{1i})}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^2} = \frac{\sum X_{2i} Y_i - 10 \sum X_{2i} - 2 \sum X_{1i} X_{2i}}{\sum X_{2i}^2}$$

↑
better
should not simplify

c) Show that your $\hat{\beta}$ is the global minimizer of the least squares criterion Q by showing that the second derivative $\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} Q(\eta) > 0$ for all values of η .

$$\frac{d^2 Q}{d\eta^2} = \frac{d}{d\eta} 2\eta \sum X_{2i}^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^2 > 0$$

$$\left(= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[2\eta \sum X_{2i}^2 - 2 \sum X_{2i} (Y_i - 10 - 2X_{1i}) \right] \right)$$

42

no intercept model

Coefficient Estimates

| Label | Estimate | Std. Error | t-value | p-value |
|-------|----------|------------|---------|---------|
| X | 0.585616 | 0.0185600 | 31.553 | 0.0000 |

Sigma hat: 6.10219 Number of cases: 202 Degrees of freedom: 201

Summary Analysis of Variance Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|------------|-----|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Regression | 1 | 37071.5 | 37071.5 | 995.56 | 0.0000 |
| Residual | 201 | 7484.59 | 37.2367 | | |

2) The above output is for a Cook and Weisberg (1999) *Arc* data set on 102 male and 100 female athletes collected at the Australian Institute of Sport. The response Y is the athlete's *body fat*. The variable X is $BMI = \text{body mass index} = \text{weight}/(\text{height})^2$. Response and residual plots as well as a scatterplot of the data suggest that regression through the origin is a reasonable model.

14 a) Predict Y if $X = 17$.

$$\hat{y} = 0.585616(17) = \boxed{9.9555}$$

14 b) Predict Y if $X = 34$.

$$\hat{y} = 0.585616(34) = \boxed{19.9109}$$

15 c) Perform the t test for $\beta_1 = 0$.

$$H_0 \beta_1 = 0 \quad H_A \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$t_0 = 31.553$$

$$p\text{-val} = 0$$

reject H_0 , $X = BMI$ is needed in the MLR model for $Y = \text{body fat}$

15 d) Perform the no intercept Anova F test.

$$H_0 \beta_1 = 0 \quad H_A \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$F_0 = 995.56$$

$$p\text{-val} = 0$$

reject H_0 there is an MLR relationship between

$Y = \text{body fat}$ and $X = BMI$.

56