

Minimal sufficient and complete statistics

Final review

MLE

Method of moments

Minimize MSE

UMVUE and FCRLB

UMP tests NP Exp Fam Th

LRT

large sample theory CLT delta method

Power

exp family where $\tilde{w}(\theta) \downarrow$

consistent estimator

Show a (min suff) stat is not complete

min suff by LSM

complete T: $g(T)$ achieves FCRLB if g is linear but not if g is nonlinear

easy

1) Y_1, \dots, Y_n iid

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{y} I_{(0,1]}(y) \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\lambda} (-\log y)\right]$$

(w/λ) ↑ (PREF)

$\lambda > 0$. Use $t(Y) = -\log(Y) \sim \text{EXP}(\lambda) \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} \chi_2^2$.
so $-\frac{2}{\lambda} \log(Y) \sim \chi_2^2$

a) Find UMP level α test for $H_0: \lambda = 1$ vs $H_A: \lambda = 1.242$

$\left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \text{EXP}(\lambda) \sim \text{EXP}(2) \sim \chi_2^2\right)$

soln) w/λ ↑, (PREF)
reject H_0 if $-\sum_{i=1}^n \log Y_i > k$

where $P_1\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \log Y_i > k\right) = \alpha$.

b) If $n=40, \alpha=.01$, find the power $B(1.242)$.

$$\alpha = .01 = P_1\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{40} \log Y_i > k\right) = P\left(-2 \sum_{i=1}^{40} \log Y_i > 2k\right)$$

$= P(\chi > 2k)$ so $2k = \chi_{80, .99}^2 = 112.3$
 $k = \frac{112.3}{2} = 56.15$

$$B(1.242) = P\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{40} \log Y_i > 56.15\right) = P\left[\frac{2}{1.242} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{40} \log Y_i\right) > \frac{2(56.15)}{1.242}\right]$$

$\approx P(\chi > 90.41) = .20$

↑
 χ_{80}^2

$90.41 = \frac{2}{\lambda} 56.15$
 $\lambda = \frac{2(56.15)}{90.41} = 1.242$

2) Good way to get an MSE problem. F. n rev 2.5

Y_1, \dots, Y_n are iid from a 1 PREE with pdf or pmf $f(y|\theta)$ and complete statistic $T(\underline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n t(Y_i)$ where

$t(Y_i) \sim \theta X$. Let $W_n = c T(\underline{y})$ be an estimator of θ . X has a known dist (eg $X \sim G(1,1)$).
So $E(X)$ and $V(X)$ are known.

a) Find $MSE(W_n)$

soln] $E W_n = c \sum_{i=1}^n E t(Y_i) = c n \theta E(X)$

$$V(W_n) = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^n V(t(Y_i)) = c^2 n \theta^2 V(X)$$

Th 6.5

$$MSE(W_n) = V(W_n) + (E W_n - \theta)^2 =$$

$$c^2 n \theta^2 V(X) + (c n \theta E(X) - \theta)^2 = MSE(c).$$

b) Find c that minimizes MSE.

soln $\frac{d MSE(c)}{dc} = 2c n \theta^2 V(X) + 2(c n \theta E(X) - \theta) n \theta E(X) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$

$$\text{or } c [n \theta^2 V(X) + n^2 \theta^2 [E(X)]^2] = n \theta^2 E(X)$$

$$c = \frac{n \theta^2 E(X)}{n \theta^2 V(X) + n^2 \theta^2 [E(X)]^2} = \boxed{\frac{E(X)}{V(X) + n [E(X)]^2}} \quad \text{unique}$$

$$\frac{d^2 MSE(c)}{dc^2} = 2 [n \theta^2 V(X) + n^2 \theta^2 [E(X)]^2] > 0$$

Note: $c \approx \frac{1}{n E(X)}$ for large n .

c) The UMVUE of $\theta E(X)$ is $\frac{T(\underline{y})}{n}$.

So the UMVUE of θ is $\frac{T(\underline{y})}{n E(X)}$ which uses $c_0 = \frac{1}{n E(X)}$ by LSO.

(θ is the unknown parameter so $E(X)$ does not depend on θ .)

$$HN(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

$$L(\sigma) = c \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum y_i^2\right)$$

MLE

$$H_0 \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2 \quad \text{LRT}$$

$$\lambda(\underline{y}) = \frac{L(\sigma_0^2)}{L(\hat{\sigma}^2)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum y_i^2\right)}{\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \sum y_i^2\right)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma_0}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum y_i^2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma_0}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-n\hat{\sigma}^2}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{2}\right)$$

See F214 for $HN(0, \sigma^2)$ Yes, $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim i.i.d. N(0, \sigma^2)$

2) Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be iid with pdf

$w(\phi) = \phi \uparrow$ $t(y) = -\log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y}\right)$

$$f(y) = \frac{\phi}{\theta} I(0 < y < \theta) \frac{\theta}{\theta-y} \exp\left[-\phi \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y}\right)\right]$$

IPREF

for $0 < y < \theta$ where $\phi > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ is known. You may use the fact that

$$\log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-Y}\right) \sim \text{EXP}(1/\phi) \sim \frac{1}{2\phi} \chi^2_2.$$

MDL

a) Find the UMP level α test for $H_0 : \phi = 0.5$ versus $H_1 : \phi = 1$.

reject H_0 if $-\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right) > K$ where

$$P_{0.5} \left[-\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right) > K \right] = \alpha.$$

b) Suppose $n = 10$ and $\alpha = 0.05$. Find the power $\beta(1)$ when $\phi = 1$ using the chi-square table.

too
to-rectly

$$\alpha = 0.05 = P_{0.5} \left[\underbrace{-\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right)}_X > K \right] = P_{0.5} \left[\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right)}_X < K' \right]$$

$$= P \left(X < \chi^2_{20, 0.05} \right)$$

don't have this on a table

$$K = -qchisq(0.05, 20) = -10.85081 \quad \text{R command}$$

$$\beta(1) = P_1 \left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right) > 10.85081 \right) = P_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right) < 10.85081 \right]$$

$$= P \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi^2_{20} < 10.85081 \right) = P \left(\chi^2_{20} < 21.70162 \right) = 0.64308$$

R command $pchisq(21.70162, 20)$

$\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-y_i}\right) \sim \chi^2_{2n}$