

Added 1 to each score.

- 1) Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are independent, that  $\sum_n P(A_n) < \infty$  and  $\sum_n P(A_n^c) = \infty$ . Find  $P(\limsup_n A_n)$ , find  $P(\liminf_n A_n)$  and if  $P(A_n) \rightarrow c$ , find  $c$ . Was independence needed?

By the 1st Borel-Cantelli lemma,  
 $P(\limsup_n A_n) = 0$ . Thus  $P(\liminf_n A_n) = 0$   
and  $c = 0$ .

Independence was not needed (or it  
(since the 1st Borel-Cantelli lemma was used),

Also  $\sum_n P(A_n) < \infty \Rightarrow P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

→ 2) Let  $a < b$  and let  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n} \right] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n} \right] = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \left[ a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n} \right]$

where  $m$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $a + \frac{1}{m} \leq b - \frac{1}{m}$  since  $[c, d] = \emptyset$  if  $c > d$ .  
 $I$  is equal to an interval. Find that interval.

$$\boxed{I = (a, b)}$$

where  
can't pick  
0 < m

$a$  and  $b$  are never in  $\left[a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}\right]$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

but  $a + \epsilon$  and  $b - \epsilon$  are eventually in  $I$   
for large enough  $n$  where  $\epsilon > 0$

and  $a + \epsilon < b - \epsilon$

$a < a + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $b > b - \frac{1}{n} \forall n \Rightarrow a, b \notin I$

3) a) Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets such that  $P(A_n) = 0 \ \forall n$ . Prove  
 $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 0.$

$$0 \leq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = 0$$

by Subadditivity.

b) Let  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets such that  $P(B_n) = 1 \ \forall n$ . Then  $P(B_n^c) = 0 \ \forall n$ ,  
and by a),  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right) = 0$ . Prove  $P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = 1$ .

$$1 - 0 = P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right)^c\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right).$$

$$= 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right)$$

$$1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right) = 0$$

$$= 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right) = 1$$

$$\text{so } P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = 1$$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right)$$

$$= 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right) = 1 - 0$$

4) For an arbitrary sequence of events  $\{A_n\}$ ,

$$P(\liminf_n A_n) \leq \liminf_n P(A_n) \leq \limsup_n P(A_n) \leq P(\limsup_n A_n).$$

Also,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  iff  $\liminf_n x_n = \limsup_n x_n = x$  where  $x_n, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a) Use these results to prove that if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  exists, then  $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A = \liminf A_n \geq \limsup A_n$$

$$\therefore P(A) \leq \liminf P(A_n) \leq \limsup P(A_n) \leq P(A)$$

$$\text{or } P(A) = P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n),$$

Let

b) Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of events with the same probability  $P(A_n) = p \forall n$ . Prove  
 $P(\limsup_n A_n) \geq p$ .

$$\therefore \limsup P(A_n) \geq p$$

$$\liminf P(A_n) \leq \limsup P(A_n) \leq \lim P(A_n) = p \leq P(\limsup P(A_n)).$$

$$(P(A_n) = p \forall n \Rightarrow \limsup P(A_n) = p) \\ (\text{one cluster point of } P(A_n))$$

Sol 9-2

Sol 9-2 325

5) Let  $\Lambda$  be an arbitrary nonempty index set, and for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  be a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ .

i)  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \forall \lambda \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{F}$

ii)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \forall \lambda \Rightarrow$

$$A^c \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \forall \lambda \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$$

$\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complement.

$\cup$  is closed under countable union.

iii)  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \forall \lambda$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \forall \lambda \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F},$$

$\therefore \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ .

1.23

- 6) What is a probability space?  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space if  $\Omega$  is a sample space,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ , and  $P$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

various concepts

prove

$$\left( \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \right)^c = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c$$

- 7) Prove DeMorgan's law  $\left[ \bigcap_{k=n}^N A_k \right]^c = \bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k^c$  where  $N \geq n$ ,  $n$  is a positive integer, and  $N = \infty$  is allowed. Here the  $A_k \subseteq \Omega$ .

If  $\omega \in \left[ \bigcap A_k \right]^c$  then  $\omega \notin \bigcap A_k$ .

Thus  $\omega \notin$  all  $A_k$ .  $\therefore \omega \in A_j^c$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\therefore \omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k^c$$

If  $\omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k^c$ , then  $\omega \in A_j^c$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 $\quad \quad \quad$  (so  $\omega \notin A_j$ )

$\therefore \omega \notin$  all  $A_k$ .  $\therefore \omega \in \bigcap A_k$

$$\therefore \omega \in \left[ \bigcap_{k=n}^N A_k \right]^c$$

- 8) Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , and let  $A, B, A_i$  be  $\mathcal{F}$  sets. You may assume finite additivity: if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are disjoint, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$ . If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $\mu(B) < \infty$ , prove  $\mu(B - A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$ .

$$B = A \cup (B - A)$$

↓  
disjoint

$$\therefore \mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B - A)$$

$$\text{and } \mu(B - A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$$

( $\mu(B) \geq 0$ ,  $\mu(A) \geq 0$  and  $\mu(B) - \mu(A)$  is not  $-\infty$ )