

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n E[|X_i - P_i|^3] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P_i g_i$$

PM 71

divide both sides by $(\sum P_i g_i)^{3/2}$

or

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[|X_i - P_i|^3]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_i g_i\right)^{3/2}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_i g_i\right)^{1/2}} \rightarrow 0$$

$\therefore (*)$ in 58 iii) holds and $Z_n \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)$.

Dekroot

60] Lindeberg CLT: Let the w_{nk} satisfy 56) and Lindeberg's condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{s_n^2} E(w_{nk}^2 I[|w_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon s_n])$$

$= 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1),$$

Note: Sometimes called the Lindeberg-Feller CLT.

61] Lindeberg's condition is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \int_{|w_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon s_n} w_{nk}^2 dP = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

62] Special case: Assume $r_n = n$ and the $w_{nk} = w_k$ are independent.

$$(*) \quad \text{If } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{s_n^2} E(w_k^2 I[|w_k| \geq \varepsilon s_n]) = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$\text{then } z_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}{s_n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1),$$

63] Lindeberg's condition is nearly

$$\text{necessary for } z_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}{s_n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1),$$

64) a) uniformly bounded sequence
 Let $r_n = n$, $w_{nk} = w_k$. If there is a constant c such that $P(|w_k| < c) = 1 \quad \forall k$

and if $s_n \rightarrow \infty$, then 62) holds.

proof: Once n is large enough so that

$$\varepsilon s_n > c, \quad I[|w_k| \geq \varepsilon s_n] = 0 \quad \text{so } (*) = 0.$$

comes since $s_n \rightarrow \infty$

p369 b) If w_1, w_2, \dots are iid with $V(w_i) = \sigma^2$ then 62) holds.

Proof: $s_n^2 = n \sigma^2$ so

$$\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n E \left[w_k^2 I[w_k \geq \varepsilon s_n] \right]$$

iid for given n

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E \left[w_1^2 I[w_1 \geq \varepsilon \sigma \sqrt{n}] \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ by LDCT.}$$

$x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ take $y = w^2$

$$\left(= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\{w_1 \geq \varepsilon \sigma \sqrt{n}\}} w_1^2 dP \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right)$$

$P(|w_1| \geq \varepsilon \sigma \sqrt{n}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since

65] Taking $w_i = x_i - \mu$ means 64b)
 \Rightarrow (Lindeberg Lévy) CLT holds.

66] If the Lyapounov condition holds,
then Lindeberg's condition holds.
So the Lindeberg CLT proves the Lyapounov
CLT.

Proof) $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{s_n^2} \int_{\{|w_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon s_n\}} w_{nk}^2 dP$

 \leq

$|w_{nk}|^s \geq \varepsilon^s s_n^s$ on the set
so $\frac{|w_{nk}|^s}{\varepsilon^s s_n^s} \geq 1$ on the set

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{s_n^2} \int_{\{|w_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon s_n\}} \frac{|w_{nk}|^{2+s}}{\varepsilon^s s_n^s} dP$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^s} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{s_n^{2+s}} E[|w_{nk}|^{2+s}] \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ if}$$

Lyapounov's condition holds.

$\int_A |w_{nk}|^{2+s} dP \leq \int_{\Omega} |w_{nk}|^{2+s} dP = E[|w_{nk}|^{2+s}]$

$A = A \cup A^c, \quad S_A = S_A dP + S_{A^c} dP$

§ 5.29

I) Change in notation

Let $\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a column vector.

$$E[\underline{x}] = \begin{bmatrix} E(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(x_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{cov}(\underline{x}) = \underline{\underline{\sigma}} = E[(\underline{x} - E(\underline{x}))(\underline{x} - E(\underline{x}))^T] = (\sigma_{ij})$$

where $\sigma_{ij} = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j)$ and $\text{cov}(X_i, X_i) = \sigma_i^2 = V(X_i)$.

2) P395 The characteristic function of \underline{X} is

$$\varphi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = E[e^{i\underline{t}^T \underline{X}}]$$

The moment generating function of \underline{X} is

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = E[e^{\underline{t}^T \underline{X}}]$$

provided the expectation exists for all \underline{t} in a neighborhood of 0.

The cdf $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = P(\underline{X}_1 \leq x_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n \leq x_n)$.

3) Let $\|\underline{x}\| = \sqrt{\underline{x}^T \underline{x}} = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_K^2}$

4) Def. Let $\underline{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^K$ be a sequence of random vectors

RVs with joint cdfs $F_{\underline{x}_n}(\underline{x})$ and let

$\underline{x} \sim e^{\|\underline{x}\|}$ be a RV with cdf $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$.

P390 a) \underline{x}_n converges in distribution to \underline{X} , $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{X}$,

if $F_{\underline{x}_n}(\underline{x}) \rightarrow F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all

continuity points \underline{x} of $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$. \underline{X} is the limiting or asymptotic dist of \underline{x}_n , and does not depend on n .

b) \underline{X}_n converges in probability to \underline{X} , 73.5

$\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{X}$, if $P[|\underline{X}_n - \underline{X}| \geq \varepsilon] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

c) Let $r > 0$: \underline{X}_n converges in r th mean to \underline{X}

$\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \underline{X}$, if $E[|\underline{X}_n - \underline{X}|^r] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

d) \underline{X}_n converges almost everywhere
almost surely
with probability 1 to \underline{X}

$\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{ae} \underline{X}$, $\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{as} \underline{X}$, $\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{w.p.} \underline{X}$, if
 $B = ae, p, r \text{ or } d$

$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{X}_n = \underline{X}\right) = 1$. For $\xrightarrow{B} \subseteq$, replace \underline{X} by \subseteq

e) Generalized Chebychev's Theorem:

Let $\underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and $U: \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a

non-negative function. Then for any $c > 0$

$$P[U(\underline{X}) \geq c] \leq \frac{E[U(\underline{X})]}{c}.$$

Proof when \underline{X} has pdf $f_{\underline{X}}(x)$:

$$E[u(\underline{x})] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} u(\underline{x}) f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

column vector

row vectors

$$\geq \int_{\{\underline{x}: u(\underline{x}) \geq c\}} u(\underline{x}) f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \int u(\underline{x}) f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \geq 0 \\ \{\underline{x}: u(\underline{x}) < c\} \end{array} \right)$$

since $u(\underline{x}) f(\underline{x}) \geq 0$

$$\geq c \int_{\{\underline{x}: u(\underline{x}) \geq c\}} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = c P(u(\underline{x}) \geq c)$$

□

6) Let $E(\underline{x}) = \underline{\mu}$ and $U(\underline{x}) = \|\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}\|$.

$$\text{Then } P\|\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}\| \geq c \Rightarrow P\|\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}\|^r \geq c^r$$

$$\leq \frac{E\|\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}\|^r}{c^r} \quad \text{for } c > 0.$$

"Markov's Inequality"
can replace $\underline{\mu}$ by \underline{a}

7) Let \underline{x} and \underline{y} be $k \times 1$ RVs, \underline{a} a conformable constant vector and A and B conformable constant matrices.

$$(i) E[\underline{a} + \underline{x}] = \underline{a} + E(\underline{x})$$

$$(ii) E[\underline{x} + \underline{y}] = E[\underline{x}] + E(\underline{y})$$

has the right dimension

$$iii) E(A\bar{X}) = A E(\bar{X})$$

74.5

$$iv) E[A \bar{X} B] = A E(\bar{X}) B$$

$g \times K, K \times 1 \times p$

$$v) \text{cov}(a + A\bar{X}) = \text{cov}(A\bar{X}) = A \text{cov}(\bar{X}) A^T$$

P397

8) \bar{X} has a k -dimensional multivariate normal distribution $N_K(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$ if $\underline{t}^T \bar{X}$ has a univariate normal distribution for any $K \times 1$ constant vector \underline{t} .

$$E[\bar{X}] = \underline{\mu}, \text{cov}(\bar{X}) = \underline{\Sigma}.$$

Univariate normal
 $K=1 \quad w \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\underline{t}^T \bar{X} \sim N(\underline{t}^T \underline{\mu}, \underline{t}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{t}). \quad (\text{write } N_1 \text{ as } N)$$

9) If $\bar{X} \sim N_K(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$ and A is a $g \times k$ constant matrix, b a constant, a a $k \times 1$ constant vector, d a $g \times 1$ constant vector, then

$$i) A\bar{X} \sim N_g(A\underline{\mu}, A\underline{\Sigma} A^T).$$

$$ii) a + b\bar{X} \sim N_k(a + b\underline{\mu}, b^2 \underline{\Sigma}).$$

Note $b\bar{X} = b I_K \bar{X}$ with $A = b I_K$.

$$iii) A\bar{X} + d \sim N_g(A\underline{\mu} + d, A\underline{\Sigma} A^T).$$

10) Suppose $\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{X} \sim N_K(\mu, \Sigma)$.

Then i) $A\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} A\underline{X} \sim N_p(A\mu, A\Sigma A^T)$

ii) $a + b\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} N_K(a + b\mu, b^2\Sigma)$

iii) $A\underline{X}_n + d \xrightarrow{D} N_p(A\mu + d, A\Sigma A^T)$

P398
11) Multivariate Central Limit Theorem (MCLT):

If $\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ are iid $k \times 1$ random vectors

with $E(\underline{X}) = \mu$ and $\text{cov}(\underline{X}) = \Sigma$, then

$\sqrt{n} (\bar{\underline{X}}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N_K(0, \Sigma)$.

Note: $\bar{\underline{X}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{X}_i$.

usual CLT is a special case with $k=1$.

ex) Suppose the \underline{X}_i are iid $p \times 1$

random vectors with $E(\underline{X}_i) = e^{0.5} \underline{1}$

and $\text{cov}(\underline{X}_i) = (e^2 - e) I_p$. Find the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n} (\bar{\underline{X}}_n - \underline{\mu})$ for appropriate $\underline{\mu}$.

Soln] $\sqrt{n} (\bar{\underline{X}}_n - e^{0.5} \underline{1}) \xrightarrow{D} N_p[\underline{0}, (e^2 - e) I_p]$

see Quot 10
HW 10

↑
common error: get p wrong

12] If $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and

75.5

$n^\delta (\underline{x}_n - \underline{\mu}) \xrightarrow{D} \underline{X}$, then

$\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{\mu}$.

13] Th: If $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n$ are iid with $E(\underline{x}) = \underline{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^k$

a) WLLN: $\bar{\underline{x}}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{\mu}$

b) SLLN: $\bar{\underline{x}}_n \xrightarrow{w.p.} \underline{\mu}$.

P396
14] Continuity Theorem: Let $\underline{x}_n, \underline{x}$ be $k \times 1$ with

char functions $\varphi_{\underline{x}_n}(t)$ and $\varphi_{\underline{x}}(t)$.

$\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$ iff $\varphi_{\underline{x}_n}(t) \rightarrow \varphi_{\underline{x}}(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

P397
15] Th: Cramér-Wold Device Let $\underline{x}_n, \underline{x}$ be $k \times 1$,

$\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$ iff $t^T \underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} t^T \underline{x} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

16] Proof of MCLT: For fixed t ,

the $\underline{t}^T \underline{x}_i$ are iid with mean $\underline{t}^T \underline{\mu}$
and variance $\underline{t}^T \Sigma \underline{t}$. Hence by the CLT,

$$\underline{t}^T \sqrt{n}(\bar{\underline{x}}_n - \underline{\mu}) \xrightarrow{D} \underline{t}^T \underline{X} \sim N(0, \underline{t}^T \Sigma \underline{t})$$

scalar

where $\underline{X} \sim N_k(0, \frac{1}{n} \Sigma)$. Hence by the

Cramér-Wold device, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\underline{x}}_n - \underline{\mu}) \xrightarrow{D} N_k(0, \frac{1}{n} \Sigma)$.

□

p391

17) continuous mapping Th: Let $\underline{x}, \underline{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

If $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$ and if $g: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^j$ is
continuous, then $\underline{g}(\underline{x}_n) \xrightarrow{D} \underline{g}(\underline{x})$.

18) Th: Let $\underline{x}_n = (x_{1n}, \dots, x_{kn})^T$, let

$\underline{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$, let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)^T$. Let
 T_n be a sequence of $k \times k$ ^{nonsingular} random matrices

and let d be a $k \times k$ constant
nonsingular matrix.

a) $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{x}$ iff $x_{in} \xrightarrow{P} x_i$ for $i=1, \dots, k$.

b) Slutsky's Th: If $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$, $\underline{y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{c}$
for some constant vector \underline{c} , and if $\underline{w}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{q}$, then

$$\text{i)} \quad \underline{x}_n + \underline{y}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x} + \underline{c}$$

$$\text{ii)} \quad \underline{y}_n^T \underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{c}^T \underline{x}$$

$$\text{iii)} \quad \underline{w}_n \underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{q} \underline{x}$$

$$\text{iv)} \quad \underline{x}_n^T \underline{w}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}^T \underline{q}$$

$$\text{v)} \quad \underline{w}_n^{-1} \underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{q}^{-1} \underline{x}$$

$$\text{vi)} \quad \underline{x}_n^T \underline{w}_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}^T \underline{q}^{-1}.$$

19] If $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$, then $x_{in} \xrightarrow{P} x_i$ for $i=1, \dots, K_0$

proof: use the cramer wold device with $\underline{t}_i = [\bar{0}, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$

$$\therefore \underline{t}_i^T \underline{x}_n = x_{in} \xrightarrow{D} x_i = \underline{t}_i^T \underline{x}. \quad \square$$

20] In general, $\underline{x}_{in} \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}_i$ for $i=1, \dots, M$

does not imply $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_{in} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_m \end{pmatrix}$. That is,

marginal convergence in distribution

does not imply joint convergence in distribution.

21) Independence is an exception since independent RVs have a joint dist. that

does not affect the marginal dist.

Suppose $\underline{x}_n \perp \underline{y}_n$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$

Suppose $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{y}$ where

$\underline{x} \perp \underline{y}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_n \\ \underline{y}_n \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x} \\ \underline{y} \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof] Let $\underline{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\underline{z}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_n \\ \underline{y}_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $\underline{\Xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x} \\ \underline{y} \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\underline{x}_n \perp \underline{y}_n$ and $\underline{x} \perp \underline{y}$,

$$\varphi_{\underline{z}_n}(\underline{t}) = \varphi_{\underline{x}_n}(t_1) \varphi_{\underline{y}_n}(t_2) \rightarrow \varphi_{\underline{x}}(t_1) \varphi_{\underline{y}}(t_2) = \varphi_{\underline{z}}(\underline{t}).$$

Hence $\underline{z}_n \xrightarrow{D} \underline{\Xi}$ by the continuity theorem

and $g(\underline{z}_n) \xrightarrow{D} g(\underline{\Xi})$ for continuous g

by the continuous mapping theorem.

22) Th: Let $\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k$

with $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1}$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2}$ and $k_1 + k_2 = k$

Let $\varphi_{\underline{x}_1}$, φ_{x_1} and φ_{x_2} be the char fns

of \underline{x} , x_1 and x_2 . Then $\underline{x}_1 \perp \underline{x}_2$ iff

$\varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{t}) = \varphi_{x_1}(t_1) \varphi_{x_2}(t_2)$ $\forall \underline{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

$$\varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{t}) = \varphi_{x_1}(t_1) \varphi_{x_2}(t_2)$$

several p. 62

Prove $\underline{x}_1 \perp \underline{x}_2 \Rightarrow \varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{t}) = \varphi_{x_1}(\underline{t}) \varphi_{x_2}(\underline{t})$:

$$\varphi_{\underline{x}}(\underline{t}) = E(e^{i\underline{t}\underline{x}}) = E\left[e^{i(t_1^T \underline{x}_1 + t_2^T \underline{x}_2)}\right]$$

$$= E\left[e^{i(t_1^T \underline{x}_1 + t_2^T \underline{x}_2)}\right] = E\left[e^{i\underline{t}_1^T \underline{x}_1} e^{i\underline{t}_2^T \underline{x}_2}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ind}}{=} E\left[e^{i\underline{t}_1^T \underline{x}_1}\right] E\left[e^{i\underline{t}_2^T \underline{x}_2}\right] = \varphi_{x_1}(\underline{t}_1) \varphi_{x_2}(\underline{t}_2).$$

□

23] If $\underline{x}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{c}$, a constant vector,

then $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_n \\ \underline{y}_n \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{P} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x} \\ \underline{c} \end{pmatrix}$.

several p. 346