

§35 Martingales

581 84

p480, 484, 485

1) Def. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be a sequence of random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing sequence of σ fields in $\mathcal{F}$.

ASSUME

M1) X_n is measurable $\mathcal{F}_n$.

M2) $E(|X_n|) < \infty$.

Then $X_1, X_2, \dots$ is

M3) a martingale (relative to $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$) if $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$ w.p.1

S.3.1) a submartingale (relative to $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$) if $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq X_n$ w.p.1

U3) a supermartingale (relative to $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$) if $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq X_n$ w.p.1.

2) a) $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1 \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{F}}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing sequence of σ -fields in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ if

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n=1, 2, \dots$$

*b) $E[|x_n|] < \infty$ for each n iff x_n is integrable for each n iff $E(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ for each n . (useful for M2)

c) A martingale is both a submartingale and a supermartingale.

d) we may say that $\{(x_n, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_n)\}$ is a martingale or that $\{x_n\}$ is a martingale

e) wpl is always understood and may be omitted

f) The σ -fields $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) (= \bar{\mathcal{F}}_n)$ always work.

Then M3) becomes $E(x_n | \mathcal{G}_n) = \dots$

$$E(x_n | x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n$$

58) 85

S3) becomes $E(x_n | \mathcal{G}_n) = E(x_n | x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq x_n$
and U3) becomes $E(x_n | \mathcal{G}_n) = E(x_n | x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq x_n$.

g) If z_n is a measurable and integrable function of $x_1, \dots, x_n$, then $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathcal{F}_n$ tends to work.

TIP: try to write z_{n+1} as a function of z_n and x_{n+1} to show that M3) (or S3) or U3)) holds.

h) If $z_n = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where g is a measurable function so that z_n is a RV, then

$$E(z_n w | x_1, \dots, x_n) = z_n E(w | x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

i) If $E(w)$ exists and $w \perp (x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$\text{then } E(w | x_1, \dots, x_n) = E(w)$$

j) A submartingale has upward trend,
A supermartingale has downward trend.

3) Recall from §34.1 143,

If X is integrable and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$,

then $E[E[X|\mathcal{H}_2]|\mathcal{H}_1] = E[X|\mathcal{H}_1]$ w.p.1.

Thus $E[E[X|\mathcal{F}_{n+1}]|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X|\mathcal{F}_n]$ w.p.1.

4) For a martingale,

$$E(X_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = X_{n+1} \text{ since } \underline{M3})$$

is true for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

typo on online notes

5) Th a) If $\{X_n\}$ is a martingale,

then $E[X_{n+k}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ for $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$.

b) If $\{X_n\}$ is a submartingale, then

$$E[X_{n+k}|\mathcal{F}_n] \geq X_n \text{ for } n, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

c) If $\{X_n\}$ is a supermartingale, then

$$E[X_{n+k}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq X_n \text{ for } n, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof a) by 3) $E[X_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_n] =$

$$E[E(X_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n] = E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = X_n.$$

Use induction to get the general result, ^{ss1} 86

6) Submartingale Convergence Th:

Let $\{X_n\}$ be a submartingale. If $k = \sup_n E[|X_n|] < \infty$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{wp} X$ where X is a RV with $E[|X|] \leq k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } E[X | \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)] &= E[X | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ E[g(X_1, \dots, X_n) | \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)] \\ &= E[g(X_1, \dots, X_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n] = g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &\text{see 23} \end{aligned}$$

ex) Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be independent RVs with $E(X_k) = 0$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Show that $\{S_n\}$ is a martingale.

Soln) Tip 1 usually take $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ but could take $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_n)$

Tip 2} Write S_{n+1} as a function of S_n so that S_n is constant given $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n$.

0) Take $\bar{x}_n = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

M1) holds since

S_n is a function of $x_1, \dots, x_n$

hence measurable $\bar{F}_n$

(finite sums are measurable)

M2) holds $E[S_n] \leq E|X_1| + \dots + E|X_n| < \infty$

(or since $E(S_n) = 0$ for each n , see 2b).)

M3) holds since $S_{n+1} = S_n + X_{n+1}$ so

$$E(S_{n+1} | \bar{x}_n) = E[S_n + X_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$$= E[S_n | x_1, \dots, x_n] + E[\underbrace{X_{n+1}}_{\text{ind}} | x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$$= S_n + 0, = S_n$$

↑

S_n is a function of $x_1, \dots, x_n$

ex) Let $x_1, x_2, \dots$ be independent RVs

with $E(X_k) = 0$ and finite variances

$$\sigma_k^2 = E(X_k^2) \text{ for } k=1, 2, \dots$$

Let $\Delta_n^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2$, and $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

Let $Y_n = S_n^2 - \Delta_n^2$. Show that $\{Y_n\}$ is

a martingale

87

0) Take $\bar{x}_n = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

M1) holds since Y_n is a RV and a function of $x_1, \dots, x_n$, hence measurable $\bar{x}_n$.

M2) holds since $E|Y_n| \leq E S_n^2 + \Delta_n^2$

$$= 2\Delta_n^2 \quad (E(S_n) = 0 \text{ so } E(S_n^2) =$$

$$V(S_n) = \Delta_n^2). \quad (\text{see 2b}).)$$

To show M3) holds, note that

$$S_{n+1}^2 = (S_n + x_{n+1})^2 \quad \text{so } E(S_{n+1}^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= E[S_n^2 + 2S_n x_{n+1} + x_{n+1}^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$$= E(S_n^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n) + 2S_n E(x_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n) + E(x_{n+1}^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= S_n^2 + 2S_n \underbrace{E(x_{n+1})}_0 + E(x_{n+1}^2) = S_n^2 + \sigma_{n+1}^2.$$

$$\text{Thus } E(Y_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n) =$$

$$E[S_{n+1}^2 - \Delta_{n+1}^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n] = E(S_{n+1}^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n) - \Delta_{n+1}^2$$

$$= S_n^2 + \sigma_{n+1}^2 - (\Delta_n^2 + \sigma_{n+1}^2) = S_n^2 - \Delta_n^2 = Y_n$$

for each n . (

ex] Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. nonnegative
RVs with $E(X_k) = 1$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$.

$$\text{Let } Y_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- i) Show that $\{Y_n\}$ is a martingale.
ii) Is there a RV Y such that

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{w.p.1}} Y?$$

Good Qval Problem

Soln] i) a) Take $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

M1) holds since the product Y_n is
a function of $X_1, \dots, X_n$, hence measurable $\mathcal{F}_n$.

M2) holds by 2b) Since

$$E(Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = 1 < \infty \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots$$

To show M3) holds note that

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n X_{n+1}. \text{ Thus}$$

$$E(Y_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E(Y_n X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$= Y_n E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = Y_n \underbrace{E(X_{n+1})}_1 = Y_n \quad (\forall n).$$

ii) Since the X_i are nonnegative, 88

$$E\{\bar{Y}_n\} = E(Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = 1 = K.$$

($\sup_n E\{Y_n\} = 1 = K < \infty$.) Thus

by the submartingale convergence theorem, there does exist RV Y

such that $Y_n \xrightarrow{w.p.1} Y$

(recall that a martingale is a submartingale).

ex} Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. RVs with

$$E(X_k) = \mu_k \text{ for } k=1, 2, \dots. \text{ Let}$$

$$\bar{T}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \mu_k). \text{ Show that } \{\bar{T}_n\} \text{ is a martingale.}$$

o) Take $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

m1) holds since $\bar{T}_n$ is a function of $X_1, \dots, X_n$, hence measurable $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n$

m2) holds since $E(\bar{T}_n) = 0 \in \mathbb{R} \forall n$.

To see that m3) holds, note that

$$\bar{c}_{n+1} = \bar{c}_n + x_{n+1} - \mu_{n+1}. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$E(\bar{c}_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n) = E(\bar{c}_n + x_{n+1} - \mu_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \bar{c}_n + E(x_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n) - \mu_{n+1} =$$

$$\bar{c}_n + \underbrace{E(x_{n+1})}_{\mu_{n+1}} - \mu_{n+1} = \bar{c}_n.$$

g) Suppose that g is a one to one and onto function so that the inverse function g^{-1} exists.

eg g is increasing, decreasing, convex or concave (some texts add "strictly").

$$\text{Then } E(w | x_1, \dots, x_n) = E(w | g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)).$$

g) Th: Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a martingale.

a) If g is convex, then $\{z_n = g(x_n)\}$ is a submartingale.

b) If g is concave, then $\{z_n = g(x_n)\}$ is a supermartingale.

Proof: a) Using a version of Jensen's

Inequality adapted to conditional expectations,

$$\begin{aligned} E(z_n | z_1, \dots, z_n) &= E[g(x_{n+1}) | g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)] \\ &= E[g(x_{n+1}) | x_1, \dots, x_n] \geq g(E[x_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n]) \\ &\quad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\ &\quad \text{by 8)} \qquad \qquad \text{Jensen's Ineq} \end{aligned}$$

$$= g(x_n) = z_n.$$

b) Note that g is concave if $h = -g$ is convex. By a) and 8)

$$\begin{aligned} E(-z_{n+1} | -z_1, \dots, -z_n) &= E[-z_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ &= E(-g(x_{n+1}) | x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq -g(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E(z_{n+1} | z_1, \dots, z_n) \leq g(x_n) = z_n.$$

10) For the martingale CLT, the x_i need not be independent, and $\{T_n\}$ can be shown to be a martingale with $E(T_n) = 0$ and $V(T_n) = E(T_n^2) = s_n^2$. Thus $z_n = T_n/s_n$ is the zscore of T_n .

11) Martingale CLT! Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be a sequence of RVs with $E(X_k) = 0$, $V(X_k) = E(X_k^2) = \sigma_k^2 < \infty$, and $E(X_k | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}) = 0$ with $X_0 = 0$.

Let $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, $\Delta_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2$,

$V_k = E(X_k^2 | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})$, and

$W_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n V_k$. If

a) $\frac{W_n^2}{\Delta_n^2} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

b) Lindeberg's Condition! for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n E(X_k^2 I[|X_k| \geq \epsilon \Delta_n])}{\Delta_n^2} = 0,$$

then $Z_n = \frac{T_n}{\Delta_n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$,

12) Show T_n is a martingale.

Soln 0) Take $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

1) $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ is a function of $X_1, \dots, X_n$

and hence measurable $\mathbb{I}_n$

90

M2) holds since $E(T_n) = 0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall n$.

For M3) (note that $E(T_n | x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$= E(T_{n+1} | T_1, \dots, T_n)$ since if

$x_1, \dots, x_n$ are known, then $T_1, \dots, T_n$ are known, and if $T_1, \dots, T_n$ are known, then $T_1 = x_1$ and $T_k - T_{k-1} = x_k$ are known for $k=2, \dots, n$) $\in$ (not really needed)

Thus $E[\bar{I}_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n] =$

$$E[\bar{I}_n + x_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n] = \bar{I}_n + \underbrace{E(x_{n+1} | x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{0 \text{ by assumption}}$$