

Exam 1 review. 5 sheets of notes and a calculator. Friday Sept. 18.

Types of problems.

1) Given a small data set, find \bar{Y} , S , $\text{MED}(n)$ and $\text{MAD}(n)$. See HW1 problem 2.10 and quiz 1. Recall that $\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n}$ and the *sample variance*

$$\text{VAR}(n) = S^2 = S_n^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - n(\bar{Y})^2}{n-1},$$

and the *sample standard deviation* (SD) $S = S_n = \sqrt{S_n^2}$.

If the data Y_1, \dots, Y_n is arranged in ascending order from smallest to largest and written as $Y_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq Y_{(n)}$, then the $Y_{(i)}$'s are called the *order statistics*. The *sample median*

$$\text{MED}(n) = Y_{((n+1)/2)} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd,}$$

$$\text{MED}(n) = \frac{Y_{(n/2)} + Y_{((n/2)+1)}}{2} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even.}$$

The notation $\text{MED}(n) = \text{MED}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ will also be used. To find the sample median, sort the data from smallest to largest and find the middle value or values.

The *sample median absolute deviation*

$$\text{MAD}(n) = \text{MED}(|Y_i - \text{MED}(n)|, i = 1, \dots, n).$$

To find $\text{MAD}(n)$, find $D_i = |Y_i - \text{MED}(n)|$, then find the sample median of the D_i by ordering them from smallest to largest and finding the middle value or values.

2) Find the population median $M = \text{MED}(Y)$ by solving the equation $F(M) = 0.5$ for M where the cdf $F(y) = P(Y \leq y)$. If Y has a pdf $f(y)$ that is symmetric about μ , then $M = \mu$. If $W = a + bY$, then $\text{MED}(W) = a + b\text{MED}(Y)$. Often $a = \mu$ and $b = \sigma$. See HW2 11.2.

3) To find the population median absolute deviation $D = \text{MAD}(Y)$, first find $M = \text{MED}(Y)$ as in 2) above. a) Then solve $F(M + D) - F(M - D) = 0.5$ for D .

b) If Y has a pdf that is symmetric about μ , then let $U = y_{0.75}$ where $P(Y \leq y_\alpha) = \alpha$, and y_α is the 100 α th percentile of Y for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Hence $M = y_{0.5}$ is the 50th percentile and U is the 75th percentile. Solve $F(U) = 0.75$ for U . Then $D = U - M$.

c) If $W = a + bY$, then $\text{MAD}(W) = |b|\text{MAD}(Y)$. See HW2 11.3.

$\text{MED}(Y)$ and $\text{MAD}(Y)$ need not be unique, but for "brand name" continuous random variables, they are unique.

4) A large sample 100 $(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval (CI) for θ is

$$\hat{\theta} \pm t_{p, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} SE(\hat{\theta})$$

where $P(t_p \leq t_{p, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) = 1 - \alpha/2$ if t_p is from a t distribution with p degrees of freedom. We will use 95% CIs so $\alpha = 0.05$ and $t_{p, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = t_{p, 0.975} \approx 1.96$ for $p > 20$. Be able to find $\hat{\theta}$, p and $SE(\hat{\theta})$ for the following three estimators. See Q2, Q3, HW2 problem 2.14.

a) The **classical CI for the population mean** $\theta = \mu$ uses $\hat{\theta} = \bar{Y}$, $p = n - 1$ and $SE(\bar{Y}) = S/\sqrt{n}$.

Let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the “greatest integer function”. Then $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to x (eg, $\lfloor 7.7 \rfloor = 7$). Let $\lceil x \rceil$ denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to x (eg, $\lceil 7.7 \rceil = 8$).

b) Let $U_n = n - L_n$ where $L_n = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - \lceil \sqrt{n/4} \rceil$. Then the **CI for the population median** $\theta = \text{MED}(Y)$ uses $\hat{\theta} = \text{MED}(n)$, $p = U_n - L_n - 1$ and

$$SE(\text{MED}(n)) = 0.5(Y_{(U_n)} - Y_{(L_n+1)}).$$

c) The 25% trimmed mean

$$T_n = T_n(L_n, U_n) = \frac{1}{U_n - L_n} \sum_{i=L_n+1}^{U_n} Y_{(i)}$$

where $L_n = \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ and $U_n = n - L_n$. That is, order the data, delete the L_n smallest cases and the L_n largest cases and take the sample mean of the remaining $U_n - L_n$ cases. The 25% trimmed mean is estimating the population truncated mean

$$\mu_T = \int_{y_{0.25}}^{y_{0.75}} 2y f_Y(y) dy.$$

To perform inference, find d_1, \dots, d_n where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} Y_{(L_n+1)} & i \leq L_n \\ Y_{(i)}, & L_n + 1 \leq i \leq U_n \\ Y_{(U_n)}, & i \geq U_n + 1. \end{cases}$$

(The “half set” of retained cases is not changed, but replace the L_n smallest deleted cases by the smallest retained case $Y_{(L_n+1)}$ and replace the L_n largest deleted cases by the largest retained case $Y_{(U_n)}$.) Then the Winsorized variance is the sample variance $S_n^2(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ of d_1, \dots, d_n , and the scaled Winsorized variance

$$V_{SW}(L_n, U_n) = \frac{S_n^2(d_1, \dots, d_n)}{([U_n - L_n]/n)^2}.$$

Then the **CI for the population truncated mean** $\theta = \mu_T$ uses $\hat{\theta} = T_n$, $p = U_n - L_n - 1$ and

$$SE(T_n) = \sqrt{V_{SW}(L_n, U_n)/n}.$$

5) Consider intervals that contain c cases $[Y_{(1)}, Y_{(c)}], [Y_{(2)}, Y_{(c+1)}], \dots, [Y_{(n-c+1)}, Y_{(n)}]$. Compute $Y_{(c)} - Y_{(1)}, Y_{(c+1)} - Y_{(2)}, \dots, Y_{(n)} - Y_{(n-c+1)}$. Then the estimator $\text{shorth}(c) = [Y_{(s)}, Y_{(s+c-1)}]$ is the interval with the shortest length. The $\text{shorth}(c)$ interval is a large sample $100(1 - \delta)\%$ PI if $c/n \rightarrow 1 - \delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ that estimates the population shorth. Hence the shorth PI often asymptotically optimal.

6) A large sample $100(1 - \delta)\%$ prediction interval (PI) $[\hat{L}_n, \hat{U}_n]$ is such that $P(Y_f \in [\hat{L}_n, \hat{U}_n])$ is eventually bounded below by $1 - \delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A large sample $100(1 - \delta)\%$ PI is *asymptotically optimal* if it has the shortest asymptotic length: the length of $[\hat{L}_n, \hat{U}_n]$

converges to $U_s - L_s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $[L_s, U_s]$ is the *population shorth*: the shortest interval covering at least $100(1 - \delta)\%$ of the mass. So $F(U_s) - F(L_s -) \geq 1 - \delta$, and if $F(b) - F(a -) \geq 1 - \delta$, then $b - a \geq U_s - L_s$. The population shorth need not be unique, but the length of the population shorth is unique.

7) The interval $[\hat{L}_n, \hat{U}_n]$ is a large sample $100(1 - \delta)\%$ *confidence interval* for θ if $P(\hat{L}_n \leq \theta \leq \hat{U}_n)$ is eventually bounded below by $1 - \delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

8) The δ quantile or 100δ th percentile $y_\delta = \pi_\delta = \xi_\delta$ satisfies $P(Y \leq y_\delta) = \delta$. The *sample δ quantile* or sample 100δ th percentile $\hat{\xi}_{n,\rho} = Y_{(\lceil n\delta \rceil)}$. Software often uses a slightly different definition.

9) Given B samples drawn with replacement from the cases (nonparametric bootstrap), be able to compute simple statistics T_j^* from the j th sample such as the sample mean, the sample median, the max, the min, the range = max - min. See Example 2.10.

The bagging estimator is $\bar{T}^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^B T_j^*$.

10) The bootstrap sample is T_1^*, \dots, T_B^* . Often B is a fixed number such as $B = 1000$, but using $B = \max(1000, \lceil n \log(n) \rceil)$ works better if you want the coverage of the bootstrap CI to converge to $1 - \delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

11) Given a bootstrap sample T_1^*, \dots, T_B^* , let the order statistics be $T_{(1)}^*, \dots, T_{(B)}^*$. Applying certain PIs to the bootstrap sample results in CIs. The shorth(c) CI is found as in 5) with n replaced by B . The prediction region method CI is $[\bar{T}^* - a, \bar{T}^* + a]$, which is the interval centered at \bar{T}^* just long enough to contain $U_B \approx \lceil B(1 - \delta) \rceil$ of the T_j^* . The modified Bickel and Ren CI is $[T_n - b, T_n + b]$, which is the interval centered at T_n just long enough to contain U_B of the T_j^* . Let $k_1 = \lceil B\delta/2 \rceil$ and $k_2 = \lceil B(1 - \delta/2) \rceil$. The percentile CI is $[T_{(k_1)}^*, T_{(k_2)}^*]$, which deletes the $k_1 - 1$ smallest and $B - k_2$ largest T_j^* .

12) For a large sample level δ test $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$, reject H_0 if θ_0 is not in the large sample $100(1 - \delta)\%$ confidence interval (CI) for θ . A bootstrap test corresponds to a bootstrap CI.

13) Given $\text{MED}(Y) = g(\theta, \sigma)$ and $\text{MAD}(Y) = h(\theta, \sigma)$, Solve $\text{MED}(n) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} g(\theta, \sigma)$ and $\text{MAD}(n) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} h(\theta, \sigma)$ for (θ, σ) , and call the solution $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma})$. This method of obtaining robust estimators is similar to the method of moments when there are two parameters. Also see Chapter 11. Often $\text{MED}(Y) = g(\theta)$ and $\text{MAD}(Y) = h(\sigma)$.

Ch. 3

14) The *population mean* of a random $p \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^T$ is $E(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} = (E(x_1), \dots, E(x_p))^T$. The $p \times p$ *population covariance matrix* $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))^T = (\sigma_{ij}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}$. The $p \times p$ *population correlation matrix* $\text{Cor}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{x}} = (\rho_{ij})$.

15) If \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are $p \times 1$ random vectors, \mathbf{a} a conformable constant vector, and \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are conformable constant matrices, then

$$E(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}) = E(\mathbf{X}) + E(\mathbf{Y}), \quad E(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{a} + E(\mathbf{Y}), \quad \& \quad E(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A}E(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{B}.$$

Also

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{A}^T.$$

Note that $E(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{A}E(\mathbf{Y})$ and $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{A}^T$.

16) If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then $E(\mathbf{X}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

17) If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and if \mathbf{A} is a $q \times p$ matrix, then $\mathbf{AX} \sim N_q(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^T)$. If \mathbf{a} is a $p \times 1$ vector of constants, then $\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{a} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

18) **All subsets of a MVN are MVN:** $(X_{k_1}, \dots, X_{k_q})^T \sim N_q(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}})$ where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i = E(X_{k_i})$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X_{k_i}, X_{k_j})$. In particular, $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$ and $\mathbf{X}_2 \sim N_{p-q}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22})$. If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 are independent iff $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = \mathbf{0}$.

19)

$$\text{Let } \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ X \end{pmatrix} \sim N_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_Y \\ \mu_X \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_Y^2 & \text{Cov}(Y, X) \\ \text{Cov}(X, Y) & \sigma_X^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Also recall that the *population correlation* between X and Y is given by

$$\rho(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(X)}\sqrt{\text{VAR}(Y)}} = \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}$$

if $\sigma_X > 0$ and $\sigma_Y > 0$.

20) The conditional distribution of a MVN is MVN. If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then the conditional distribution of \mathbf{X}_1 given that $\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{x}_2$ is multivariate normal with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$. That is,

$$\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{x}_2 \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}).$$

21) Notation:

$$\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_2 \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}).$$

22) Be able to compute the above quantities if X_1 and X_2 are scalars.

23) Given a table of data \mathbf{W} for variables X_1, \dots, X_p , be able to find the **coordinate-wise median** $\text{MED}(\mathbf{W})$ and the **sample mean** $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. If $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)^T$ where X_j corresponds to the j th column of \mathbf{W} , then $\text{MED}(\mathbf{W}) = (\text{MED}_{X_1}(n), \dots, \text{MED}_{X_p}(n))^T$ where $\text{MED}_{X_j}(n) = \text{MED}(X_{j,1}, \dots, X_{j,n})$ is the sample median of the data in the j th column. Similarly, $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_p)^T$ where \bar{X}_j is the sample mean of the data in the j th column.