

(without an intercept) of \underline{z} on \underline{U} . LM 30

c) For WLS, $Y_i = \underline{x}_i^T \underline{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$. The corresponding OLS model $\underline{z} = \underline{U} \underline{\beta} + \underline{a}$ is equivalent to $z_i = \underline{u}_i^T \underline{\beta} + a_i$

for $i=1, \dots, n$ where \underline{u}_i^T is the i th row of \underline{U} . Then $z_i = \sqrt{w_i} Y_i$ and $\underline{u}_i = \sqrt{w_i} \underline{x}_i$.

Hence $\hat{\underline{\beta}}_{WLS}$ can be obtained from the OLS regression (without an intercept) of $z_i = \sqrt{w_i} Y_i$ on $\underline{u}_i = \sqrt{w_i} \underline{x}_i$.

proof) a) $E(\underline{a}) = \underline{R}^{-1} E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$

$$\text{COV}(\underline{a}) = \underline{R}^{-1} \text{COV}(\underline{\varepsilon}) (\underline{R}^{-1})^T = \sigma^2 \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{V} \underline{R}^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{R} \underline{R} \underline{R}^{-1} = \sigma^2 \underline{I}_n$$

OLS without an intercept needs to be used since the 1st column of \underline{U} is $\underline{R}^{-1} \underline{1} \neq \underline{1}$.

b) Let $\hat{\underline{\beta}}_{ZU}$ denote the OLS estimator

obtained by regressing \underline{z} on \underline{U} . Then

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}}_{ZU} = (\underline{U}^T \underline{U})^{-1} \underline{U}^T \underline{z} = [\underline{X}^T (\underline{R}^{-1})^T \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{X}]^{-1} \underline{X}^T (\underline{R}^{-1})^T \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{Y}$$

and the result follows since

$$V^{-1} = (RR)^{-1} = R^{-1}R^T = (R^{-1})^T R^{-1}$$

c) The result follows from b) if

$$z_i = \sqrt{w_i} y_i \quad \text{and} \quad u_i = \sqrt{w_i} x_i$$

But for WLS, $V = \text{diag}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and

so $R = \text{diag}(\sqrt{v_1}, \dots, \sqrt{v_n})$. Hence

$$R^T = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{v_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_n}}\right) = \text{diag}(\sqrt{w_1}, \dots, \sqrt{w_n})$$

So $\underline{z} = R^T \underline{y}$ has i th element $z_i = \sqrt{w_i} y_i$

and $\underline{U} = R^T \underline{X}$ has i th row $= \sqrt{w_i} x_i^T = \underline{u}_i^T$

44) Standard software will do WLS if supplied the weights.

45) The FGLS estimator can be found with the OLS regression (without intercept) of \underline{z} on \underline{U} where $V(\hat{\theta}) = RR$

and the FGLS estimator can be found with the OLS regression (without intercept) of

$z_i = \sqrt{w_i} y_i$ on $u_i = \sqrt{w_i} x_i$. Now \underline{U}

is a random matrix instead of LM 31
a constant matrix. Hence FGLS and WLS
are highly nonlinear estimators.

4B) Under regularity conditions,
the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is a consistent
estimator of β when the GLS model holds,
but $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ should generally be used
because it generally has higher
efficiency (use the Gauss Markov
Th on the OLS model $\underline{z} = \underline{X}\beta + \underline{\varepsilon}$).

Skip § 3.11, 3.12, 3.13

Ch4 Hypothesis Testing

Skim § 4.1

Skim § 4.2

13 ✓ p99 want to test $H_0: A\underline{\beta} = \underline{c}$
 $q \times p$ $q \times 1$

If $\hat{\underline{\beta}} \sim N_p(\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2(\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1})$, then

$$\underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{c} \sim N_q(\underline{A}\underline{\beta} - \underline{c}, \sigma^2 \underline{A}(\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1}\underline{A}')$$

0 under H_0

Hence $qF = (\underline{A}\underline{\hat{\beta}} - \underline{c})^T \underbrace{[\underline{A}(\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1}\underline{A}^T]^{-1}}_{\sigma^2} (\underline{A}\underline{\hat{\beta}} - \underline{c}) \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \chi^2_q$.

By the LS CLT, $\frac{\sigma^2}{MSE} qF \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2_q$ if

$\underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$, \underline{X} full rank, $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$ cov $\sigma^2 I$

for the large class of error distributions. ^{iid}

2) 3 important tests have the

form $\underline{A}\underline{\beta} = \underline{0}$. Suppose the full

model is $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} x_{i,p-1} + \varepsilon_i$,
constant or intercept

So the full model uses $Y, x_0 \equiv 1, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}$.

Let the reduced model use $Y, x_0 \equiv 1, x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_k}$

where $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, and $0 \leq k < p-1$.
 $k=0$ means ϕ .

i) The partial F test has $H_0: \beta_{j_{k+1}} = \dots = \beta_{j_{p-1}} = 0$

or H_0 the reduced model is good.

Then the i th row of A has a 1 in the j_{k+i} position and 0's elsewhere.

LM 32

In particular, if β_0, \dots, β_k are in the reduced model then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{p-k-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{matrix} (p-k-1) \times p \\ A \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} p \times 1 \\ \beta \end{matrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{p-k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k-1} \\ \beta_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\left. \begin{matrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k-1} \end{matrix} \right\} k+1$
 $\left. \begin{matrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{matrix} \right\} p-k-1$
 $p-1 = k + p - k - 1$

$\beta = p - k - 1 = \#$ of predictors in full model but not in the reduced model

ii) The Anova F test is the special case where the reduced model is $Y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$ and tests H_0 for none of the nontrivial predictors are needed in the likelihood model

$$\begin{matrix} (p-1) \times p \\ A \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} p \times 1 \\ \beta \end{matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & I_{p-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$H_0 \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$

iii) The Wald t test is a test for whether x_j^0 is needed in the model given that the other predictors are in the model where $x_0 \equiv 1$ is the trivial predictor.

$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & & \\ & \sigma_1^2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \sigma_p^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad H_0 \beta_j = 0$

$A_j = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]_{j=0, \dots, p-1}$ and 1 is in the $(j+1)$ position.

Here the reduced model does not contain X_i predictor X_j .

3) ^{P100} ✓ A way to get a statistic for the partial F test is to fit the full model and the reduced model. Let $RSS = RSS(F)$ be the RSS of the full model. Let $RSS(R) = RSS_H$ be the $RSS = RSS(F)$ of the reduced model. Then the partial F test has test statistic

$$F_{R} = \frac{(RSS(R) - RSS(F)) / q}{RSS(F) / (n - p)} = \frac{RSS(R) - RSS(F)}{q \underbrace{MSE(F)}_{\text{for full model}}}$$

$$\text{Here } q = df_R - df_F = (n - k - 1) - (n - p) = p - k - 1$$

= # of terms in full model not in reduced model.

Partial F Test Theorem
Suppose $H_0: \beta = 0$ is true for the partial F test.

4) ^{P100} Under the OLS full rank model,

a) iff $\sum_{i=1}^n (e_i^2) > 0$ then

$$a) F_R = \frac{(A \hat{\beta})^T [A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T]^{-1} A \hat{\beta}}{\sigma^2 MSE}$$

b) If $\underline{\varepsilon} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$, then $F_R \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F_{q, n-p}$.

c) For a large class of zero mean error distributions, $F_R \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2_q$ by [1], i.e.

I don't show, numerator = $RSS(R) - RSS$ in this class see Seber and Lee p. 100

5) Recall that $W \sim F_{d_1, d_2}$ if

$$W = \frac{X_1/d_1}{X_2/d_2} \quad \text{where } X_1 \sim \chi^2_{d_1} \perp X_2 \sim \chi^2_{d_2}$$

also $X \sim \chi^2_n$ if $X = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i$ where

U_i are iid χ^2_1 .

Sample mean
of the U_i
↓

Hence if $X_2 \sim \chi^2_{n-p}$, then $\frac{X_2}{n-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} U_i}{n-p} = \bar{U}_{n-p}$

$\xrightarrow{D} 1$. Hence if $W \sim F_{q, n-p}$,

then $qW \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2_q$

So $1-\alpha = P_{H_0}(W \leq F_{q, n-p, 1-\alpha}) \approx P(qW \leq \chi^2_{q, 1-\alpha})$

So if $W \xrightarrow{D} \chi_g^2$

then $P\left(\frac{W}{g} \leq F_{g, n-p, 1-\delta}\right) \approx 1-\delta \approx P(W \leq \chi_{g, 1-\delta}^2)$

So $P(gFR \leq \chi_{g, 1-\delta}^2) \approx P\left(\frac{FR}{g} \leq F_{g, n-p, 1-\delta}\right)$

6) A test with test statistic T_n is a large sample right tailed δ test if

the test rejects H_0 if $T_n > a_n$ and

$P_{H_0}(T_n > a_n) = \delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If

$T_n \xrightarrow{D} \chi_g^2$ when H_0 is true then the tests that reject H_0 when $T_n > \chi_{g, 1-\delta}^2$.

Or when $\frac{T_n}{g} > (F_{g, n-p, 1-\delta})$ are large sample right tailed δ tests if $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Using an F distribution gives better results than using a χ^2 dist.

7) Analogy if the test statistic $T_n \xrightarrow{D} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

then the tests that reject H_0 if $T_n > z_{1-\delta}$

or if $T_n > t_{dn, 1-\delta}$ are large sample right tailed δ tests if $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.